

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,122	06/25/2001	Shohei Tanaka	Q64929	2326
	590 12/18/2002			
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			HUI, SAN MING R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	20
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/869,122	TANAKA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication care	San-ming Hui	1617		
	• •				
- Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In its from the mailing date of this communication. In its from the mailing date of this communication. It is for the mailing date of the mailing of the thin the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for	ely filed will be considered timely.		
Status	term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	interest of this communication, even if timely filed,	may reduce any		
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	ontomber 2000			
2a) <u></u> ⊤					
3)□ s	ince this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final.			
cl Disposition	nce this application is in condition for allowand osed in accordance with the practice under Ex of Claims	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 45	secution as to the merits is		
			0.0.213.		
4a)	of the above elements.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	im(s) <u>14-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)□ Cla	im(s) is/are rejected.				
8)∏ Clai	m(s) are subjected to.				
Application F	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.			
9) <u></u> The :	specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)[☐ The d	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	I or h) Dobio eta da la la una en			
, (p)	pricant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(a) ha hald ! I			
11) <u></u> The p	proposed drawing correction filed on is:	a) approved b) disapprove	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	reply to	O this Office action	d by the Examiner.		
12) Ine d	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠ Ackn	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri	Ority under 35 U.S.C. & 110/a) /-	4) 0. (5)		
~/ <u>L</u> / u,	None of:		a) or (t).		
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknow	differ action for a list of the	e certified conice not			
a) 🔲 TI	vledgment is made of a claim for domestic prione translation of the foreign language provisio	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional application).		
15) Acknow	vledgment is made of a claim for domestic price	nai application has been receive	d.		
		5, drider 30 0.3.6. 99 120 and	1/or 121.		
	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	of Informal Patent	0-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)		
atent and Trademark O	ffice	6)	·		

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 26, 2002 has been entered.

The cancellation of claims 7 and 9-13 in amendment filed September 26, 2002 is acknowledged. The amendments filed September 26, 2002 have been entered.

Claims 14-21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2. 3.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isomura et al. (US Patent 4,990,503 from the Information Disclosure Statement received September 20, 2001) in view of Aparicio et al. (Leukemia, 1998;12:220-229 from the IDS received June 27, 2002) and Shipman et al. (British Journal of Haematology, 1997;98:665-672 from the IDS received September 20, 2001).

Isomura et al. teaches the heterocyclic bisphosphonic acid compounds, useful as bone resorption inhibitors, including 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid can be blended with other pharmaceutically acceptable carrier to form medical composition suitable for oral administration (See particularly Col. 7, line 7-19; col. 9, example 5). Isomura et al. also teaches that 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid possess a strong bone resorption inhibition activities which can be used in diseases such as metastatic osteocarcinoma (See col. 6, line 4-66, particularly Table 1). Isomura et al. also teaches the oral dosage of 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid to be useful in inhibiting bone resorption to be 0.1 to 10mg daily (See col. 7, line 7-19).

Isomura et al. does not expressly teach 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid is useful in a method of inhibiting proliferation of myeloma cells. Isomura et al. does not expressly teach the effective dosage of 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid to be 1 to 20 mg or 3 to 10 mg.

Art Unit: 1617

Aparicio et al. teaches two structurally different bisphosphonates: Aredia (pamidronate) and zoledronate, are effective in suppressing bone resorption and in inducing apoptosis in multiple myeloma cells by inducing apoptotic fragmentation (See the abstract; also page 223, col. 2, second paragraph). Aparicio et al. also teaches that both pamidronate and zoledronate are effective in inhibiting proliferation of multiple myeloma cells (See particularly page 226, col. 1, third paragraph).

Shipman et al. teaches three structurally different bisphosphonates: clodronate, pamidronate and YM 175, are effective in reducing the cell number of human myeloma cells (See page 667, Figure 1). Shipman et al. also teaches pamidronate and YM 175 as effective in inducing DNA fragmentation in myeloma cells (See page 668, col. 2, second paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid, in the herein claimed dosage, in a method of inhibiting proliferation of myeloma cells and/or suppressing bone resorption herein.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid, in the herein claimed dosage, in a method of both inhibiting proliferation of myeloma cells and/or suppressing bone resorption herein because various structurally distinct bisphosphonate compounds, pamidronate, zoledronate, clodronate, and YM 175, are known to be effective in inducing apoptosis in myeloma cells by inducing apoptotic fragmentation in myeloma cells. Possessing the teaching of the cited prior art, one of ordinary skill in the art would

Art Unit: 1617

be reasonably expected to employ any known bisphosphonate compound, including 1-hydroxy-2-(imidazo[1,2a]pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,1-bisphosphonic acid, in the herein claimed method to inhibit the proliferation of myeloma cells and/or suppressing bone resorption. Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming. Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

San-ming Hui December 10, 2002

SREENI PADMANABHAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER